

PATENT YOR919990060US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Uve Hansmann, et al.

Serial Number

.

09/667,010

Filing Date

.

September 21, 2000

Examiner

.

Aravind K. Moorthy

Group Art Unit

:

2131

For

HARDWARE-ORIENTED CONFIGURATION

AND LOCKING OF DEVICES

TO: The Honorable Commissioner of Patents

and Trademarks

Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

This submission is made in response to a telephone inquiry today from Examiner Moorthy on the status of the above-identified application. Examiner Moorthy indicated that, according to his records, an Official Action sent out June 29, 2007 had not been responded to by Applicants. Applicants submit herewith a copy of an amendment that was mailed July 8, 2007, Also included is a copy of the post card receipt and a copy of a Change of Address amendment filed concurrently.

Respectfully Submitted,

Thomas A. Beck

Registration No. 20,816

6136 West Kimberly Way

Glendale, AZ 85308-7627

(623) 466-0080

Express Mail Label: EB 806637512 US

January 7, 2008

I hereby certify that this amendment response is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, in a secure Express Mail envelope, postage prepaid, on the date indicated above addressed to Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks, Post Office Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Signature

Name:

Thomas A. Beck

PATENT DE919990060US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re Application of

Uwe Hansmann, et al.

Serial Number

09/667,010

Filing Date

September 21, 2000

Examiner

Aravind K. Moorthy

Group Art Unit

2131

For

HARDWARE-ORIENTED CONFIGURATION AND LOCKING OF DEVICES

TO: The Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

In response to the Official Action dated June 29, 2007, please amend the above-identified application as set forth below.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend the Claims 1 and 11 and add Claims 12 - 15 as set forth in Appendix A attached hereto.

REMARKS

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider his rejection of claims 1 - 11 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Examiner contends that there is no definition of "split key" in the specification.